

FILED 06/09/2010

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DANIEL TAYLOR, JR.,

O R D E R
Civ. No. 09-911-TC

Petitioner,

vs.

DON MILLS,

Respondent.

AIKEN, Chief Judge:


Magistrate Judge Coffin filed his Findings and Recommendation on May 6, 2010. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When a party objects to any portion of the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate's report. 28

1 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore
2 Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert.
3 denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

4 Petitioner has timely filed objections. I have, therefore,
5 given the file of this case a de novo review. I ADOPT the
6 Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 20) that
7 petitioner's Petition should be denied, and therefore
8 petitioner's Petition (doc. 1) is dismissed.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated this 9th day of June 2010.

11
12
13
14 
15 Ann Aiken
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28